Thermo-chemical Conversion R&D Activities at CRL Energy - Including the Gasification of Coal and Biomass for Purified Hydrogen Production

Tana Levi

IEA Workshop - Bioenergy Task 33 14th April 2011



About CRL Energy Limited

- Coal Association of New Zealand
- ➤ Staff of 50
- Main facility at Lower Hutt,
 - offices in:
 - Christchurch and Hamilton
 - laboratories in:
 - Greymouth, Lyttelton and Buller
- > Technology
- Geology
- Environment
- Analytical
- SpectraChem





Thermo-chemical Conversion Methods



Thermo-chemical Conversion Pathways



Torrefaction

- Torrefaction of biomass for energy densification and improved storage
 - Pre-treatment step in pyrolysis and gasification
 - Temperature range 200-300°C
 - Constructing small scale test rig
 - Can also operate at carbonization temperatures



Carbonisation

- Conversion mode that produces highest yields of biochar
- Processes increases energy density of biomass
- Improves storage and handling properties of solid products
- Applications for carbonisation include:
 - Production of slurry fuels
 - Biochar for soil enhancement
 - Extraction of complex chemicals









Designed for use on:

– Bagasse, Bio-solids, Seaweed, Wood

> Target specific product species



Biomass Pyrolysis

- 6 year programme (2009 2015)
 Pyrolysis of biomass for production of biobitumens
 - Design and testing using bench scale pyrolyser
 - Up-scaling to proof of concept scale
 - Road testing

Fluidized bed fast pyrolysis rig - convert sawdust into solid and liquid products



Bio-bitumen Pathway





Solid Fuel Combustion

Fuel Performance Evaluation
 Emissions Testing
 On-Site Boiler Optimisation
 Plant Design





CRL Energy Hydrogen and Coal Gasification Research Programme

The CRL Energy Research Programme

- Stage 1: Understanding gasification of NZ coals (1996-2002)
- Stage 2: Design, Construction and Commissioning a Coal to Hydrogen Technology Package (2002-2008)
- Stage 3: Introducing Biomass and electrolysis into the Mix (2008-2012)

Hydrogen in NZ's Energy Future



Why Are We Looking at Biomass?

> NZ traditionally uses renewables 2009 70% electricity and 35% primary energy By 2020 energy landscape must transform Low carbon and sustainable energy sources Hydrogen store excess renewable off peak electricity

Transport sector undergo transformation





An Energy System With Hydrogen Service Energy Transformer Sources Services Technologies Carrier Technologies Hydraulic Cool Fridge Electricity Hydro Generator Beer Wind Turbine Wind Electrolyser or Photovoltaics Solar **Fuel Cell** Gasification Coal **Biomass** Drive Hydrogen Reformation Car Natural Gas **CRL Energy Ltd**



Recoverable Energy Reserves

Assuming 350 PJ needed:

Renewables 120 PJ pa

> Oil and condensate – 402 PJ

Natural Gas - 2300 PJ
 Future discoveries estimated at 80 PJ pa

Coal – 150,000 PJ
 Sufficient to meet energy demands for 100s of years



New Zealand Coal Resources

NZ has 10 times more coal per capita than the average for the rest of the world

> 9 billion tonne reserve

5 million tonne production p.a.

75% lignite, 15% sub-bituminous,
 10% bituminous





Feasibility of Biomass



CRL Energy Ltd

Hydrogen and Clean Energy Technology Package

Four year programme

10 milestones 8 relate to gasifier and modifications 2 relate to improving syngas clean up

Bench scale gasifier tests on coal/biomass blends

Modelling char reactivity and product streams

Proof of Concept O_2 blown coal/biomass gasifier + electrolyser



Hydrogen and Syngas – Flexible, Valuable Products

<u>Feedstocks</u>		Products					
Coal Biomass		Chemicals <	Oxo-alcohols Methanol Ammonia Urea				
Petroleum Coke	Advanced Co-	. J Hydrogen					
Heavy Oil Residues	Plant	Electricity	Electricity				
Asphaltenes	▲	Clean Fuels	(FT)				
Natural Gas	O ₂	(WGS) (CO ₂) (CCS)				
Renewable ————————————————————————————————————	, Electrolyser	H ₂	CRL Energy Ltd				

Why Coal: Biomass Gasification ?

Biomass gasification is a carbon neutral process
 But a limited resource

Coal gasification is not a carbon neutral process
 – But is a huge natural resource
 Energy security

- Inexpensive
- Regular quality



Why Coal: Biomass Gasification ?

Enables a transition between fossil and plantation biomass technologies

Use a product that may otherwise be disposed of as waste - e.g. timber milling plant waste, corn husks, municipal waste, chicken waste



Synergies

 U of C Contract: What happens to gasification behaviour when coal is added to biomass?
 Using an abundant fuel to augment a lower CV, less abundant one

CRL Energy Contract: What happens to gasification behaviour when biomass is added to coal?

 Using a carbon neutral fuel to reduce carbon footprint



		Testing hydrogen separation membrane technolo							ologies		
	Ponch cools and biomans ober reportivition										
		Bench scale coal biomass char reactivities									
				Effect of Ca on reactivities							
				Modelling							
Pre 2008	20	800	2009		2010	20		2011 2		2012	-
Air 50 kw gasi lignite	ifier	50 kw lignite woody	Air / gasifier e, sub-bit / biomass	50 lig wo	30%O ₂ 50 kw gasifier lignite, sub-bit woody biomass						
						+/- 3 from elec	0% C n sma trolyse) ₂ III er	100 frc elec	0% O ₂ om big trolyser	
New 100%O ₂ 200 kw gasifier desig Running on ligni woody bion					D ₂ or igne nite, s mas	air d and bu sub-bit s	ıilt				



Coal:Biomass Co-gasification: Issues



Biomass Selection



► E. nitens

- Short rotation forestry
- Efficient use of land
- Difficult to prepare

P. radiata
 Longer rotation
 Available
 Easy to prepare



Making Coal: Biomass Pellets

Enables regular feedstock quality

- Reproducible results
- Small size
 - Easy for handling
 - Easy to transport and store
- Fluidise well
 - Avoids segregation
 - Steady gaseous out stream









Making Coal:Biomass Pellets

Make up coal:biomass blends (0, 20%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 80% 100%) by weight.

Fuels used: *E. nitens*, *P radiata*, Lignite, Subbituminous coal

Fest that pellets are strong enough to feed to gasifier





Making Pellets - Methodology

- > Air dry biomass to approximately 3% moisture
- Grind biomass and lignite/sub-bituminous < 1.0 mm</p>
- Biomass and lignite/sub-bituminous ball milled with binder (water and 9% wt flour)
- Ideal moisture content level of blends is ~24%
- Feed mixture into hot roller press pelletizer (2 passes)
- Pellets 8-10 mm Ø, 10 30 mm long
- Pellets dropped x 10, 2 m onto concrete floor









Things did not always go quite to plan !!!



Analysis of Feed Stocks

			Dry Basis						
CRL Ref	Description		Ash ASTM D1102	Vols ISO 562	Fixed Carbon by difference	Total Carbon SC144-DR	Total Carbon	Total Hydrogen	Total Nitrogen
93/000	Pine	%	0.37	84.6	15.0		51.2	5.87	<0.03
93/001	e niten	%	0.40	86.2	13.4		50.2	5.89	<0.03
93/002	Lignite	%	6.1	51.8	42.2		62.6	4.50	0.68
93/003	Sub-bit	%	6.3	44.7	49.1		68.8	4.75	1.19
93/004	L-P 20/80 Char	%	4.9	3.2	91.8	90.4			
93/005	L-P 50/50 Char	%	8.3	4.3	87.4	86.2			
93/006	L-P 80/20 Char	%	10.7	7.0	82.3	83.9			
93/007	S-P 20/80 Char	%	5.2	4.8	90.1	88.8			
93/008	S-P 50/50 Char	%	8.7	9.7	81.6	83.7			
93/009	S-P 80/20 Char	%	10.1	3.3	86.6	86.1			
93/010	100% Pine Char	%	1.9	2.1	95.9	94.7			

Bench Scale Gasifier



Determine reactivities of mixed chars
 Calculate rate at which char is converted to carbon containing gases
 Identify time to 50% conversion
 Identify syngas composition at that time

BENCH SCALE GASIFIER







Lignite

P. radiata



Sub-bituminous

E. nitens





Lignite / P. radiata

Lignite / E. nitens

Sub-bituminous / P. radiata

Sub-bituminous / E. nitens



Effect of Gasification Temperature on Reactivity





Effect of Gasification Temperature on H_2/CO Ratio



Calcium Effect

Lignite char reactivity is strongly dependent on presence of ionically bound calcium

	T ₅₀ (min)	
NZ Lignite	22.8	
German Brown Coal	33.5	
Australian Brown Coal	33.4	

	T ₅₀ (min)	H ₂ /CO
Lignite	22.8	17
Acid Washed	99.5	2.8
Calcium Reloaded	22.5	17



Effect of Calcium on Lignite Reactivity and Syngas Composition



Modelling Char Reactivity

Model based on:

- Gasification reaction kinetics
- Transportation of gas molecules in char matrix
- Mass balance equations in solid char

Model considers:

- Gasification agent (steam) diffusion into particle through pores
- Chemical reactions among gases (steam, product gases)
- Chemical reactions between gases and char matrix
- Product gas transfer through char







Predicted v Actual Char Conversion



Differences Between Coal & Biomass Char Conversion *E. nitens* char reactivity lies
 between lignite and sub bituminous coal

- Overall reaction rate \$\geq\$ with \$\geq\$
 in coal:biomass ratio
- Structural properties affect reaction rate
- Internal surface area of lignite char larger (more porous) than *E. nitens*



The CRL Energy Gasifier



Gasifier Detail

Bed: depth of 300 mm Air flow in: 60 m³/h Gas flow gasifier exit: 130m³/h Coal size: 3 – 10 mm Coal feed: 18 kg/h Steam feed: 5 kg/h Temperature: 950 – 980 °C Control system: Delta V



The Fluidised Bed Gasifier

Operation

- Time to steady gasification ~ 2 h
- Reliable optimal operation conditions
- Advanced control system
- Regular quality syngas
 - 15% H₂, 15% CO₂, 12% CO, <1% CH₄ plus N₂.
- 2000 h + operation
- Continuous (1 week) operation





Particulate Removal

- 2 stage particulate removal system
- High efficiency cyclone (95%)
- Venturi scrubber (5%)

Low yields of tars and condensables recovered



Syngas Clean-up Line Sulfur Gases

X

X

> Amine scrubber (MDEA)

Proprietary scavenger

Packed column, counter flow caustic wash







Corrosion







Effect of Biomass Addition on Gasifier Control



100% lignite

15/07/2009 C15/07/2009 15/07/2009

10:48

date / time (h'

9.36





20% *P. radiata* – 80% sub-bituminous

20% E. nitens – 80% lignite



20% *E. nitens* – 80% sub-bituminous



Effect of Biomass Addition on Syngas Composition

Fuel	% Gas				
	H_2	CO	CO ₂	CH ₄	
100% lignite	15	12	15	<1	
80% lignite – 20% <i>P.</i> <i>radiata</i>	9	11	15	1.5	
80% lignite – 20% <i>E.</i> <i>nitens</i>	8	10	14	1.5	
100% sub-bituminous coal	11	15	12	1	
80% sub-bituminous coal – 20% <i>P. radiata</i>	14	16	13	2	
80% sub-bituminous coal – 20% <i>E. nitens</i>	11	13	14	1.5	



Coal/Biomass + O₂ = CO₂ + heat
 Coal/Biomass + heat = C (char) + volatiles

> C + H₂O = CO + H₂

 $\succ CO_2 + C = 2CO$ $\Rightarrow CO + 3H_2 = CH_4 + H_2O$ $\Rightarrow CO + H_2O = CO_2 + H_2$ $\Rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O = CO + 3H_2$

(boudouard)(methanation)(WGS)(steam reforming)



Syngas Clean-up Line Water Gas Shift Reactor

- > CO + H₂O \leftrightarrow H₂ + CO₂ ~40 kJ/kg-mol
- Single high temperature catalyst bed (340 to 360°C)
- Iron Oxide Catalyst
- Gas flow rate 5.0 2.4 m³/h
- Regular quality syngas
 - 22% H₂, 20% CO₂, 5% CO, <1% CH₄



Syngas Clean-up Line Hydrogen Purification



Palladium membrane, developed by ECN, NL 10 bar, 350°C, >99% hydrogen

Syngas Clean-up Line High Pressure High Temperature Gas Separation Unit







Fuel Cell

- > Alkaline fuel cell (2.5 kW) assembled
- Developed by IRL, NZ
- > 2 bar H₂ buffer storage system feed
- > Overall electrical conversion efficiencies 50% HHV

Fuel (H₂) is fed into the anode
Oxidant (O₂ air) is fed into cathode
React in presence of KOH
H₂ -> 2H+ + 2e2H+ + 2e- + O₂ -> H₂O



O₂ Blown Fluidized Bed Gasifier with Integrated Electrolyser

Develop new technology of oxygen blown cofired gasifier with integrated electrolyser for production of low carbon footprint syngas, synfuels and H_2 from New Zealand's coal and biomass resources





Specifications

50kw unit Fluidized bed O₂ or air blown Biomass capability (up to 45%) *Modular design* Max working temperature 1000°C Ambient pressure system Regular quality syngas (> 20% H₂)





ASU 15-20% electrical output

- Roaring 40s
- Use of green H₂ and O₂ with biomass and coal interesting
- Green input reduce process emissions
 If CCS high minimise CO to CO₂ shift and produce as much H₂ for optimum FT



Benefits and Barriers

Electrolysis provides a relatively simple means of producing high purity O₂ and H₂ in a ratio of 1:2

The technology is expensive
The cost of feedstock (electricity) is high
The production efficiency is presently of the order of only 60% HHV.



Product Costs vs Allocation of Electrolysis Cost





Benefits and Barriers

Recent advances in materials technology can potentially reduce these barriers
 Changing environment of electricity supply + improvements could alter economics in high value O₂ and H₂ applications



Integrated Electrolyser



Integrated Electrolyser

> Operates at nominal 50Vdc Fully self contained > Wide operating range Fast turn-up and turn-down $> O_2$ and H_2 at required quality \succ Produces 0.4 Nm³/hr O₂ (0.8Nm³ H₂) > Very low peripheral power demand Efficiency of 70% HHV without any special electrode surface preparation Target module level efficiency of > 80%HHV





2009 - To Date

PhD studentMasters Student

> 2 Journal papers
> 4 Conference papers and presentations
> 3 Workshops

Developed several international collaborations



Future Work

Prove concept - complete gasifier-electrolyser integration

- Complete test run schedule with 100% O₂ and 45% biomass
- Develop new test programme


Acknowledgements

- Ministry for Science and Innovation, New Zealand
- Industrial Research Limited, New Zealand
- Prof. Shusheng Pang, University of Canterbury, New Zealand
- ECN, The Netherlands
- > Argonne National Laboratory, USA
- Solid Energy, New Zealand
- Genesis Energy, New Zealand
- Carter Holt Harvey, New Zealand
- BP, New Zealand





How Much Hydrogen will we Need?

1.2 – 1.75 million tonnes of hydrogen p.a. by 2050 (144 – 210 PJ) to meet predicted land transport demand

Primary domestic energy sources

- Coal
- Natural Gas
- Renewables



Gasification – The Key Enabling Technology

 New Zealand lignites very well suited to new advanced efficient gasification process
Generation of 1.2 to 1.7 million tonnes of hydrogen requires gasification of 10 to 15 million tonnes of lignite.

